



2025:KER:2032

CRL.MC NOS.11327 OF 2023
& 4154 OF 2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A. BADHARUDEEN

MONDAY, THE 13TH DAY OF JANUARY 2025 / 23RD POU SHA, 1946

CRL.MC NO. 4154 OF 2024

CRIME NO.516/2023 OF KADAKKAL POLICE STATION, KOLLAM

SC NO.184 OF 2024 OF FAST TRACK SPECIAL COURT,

KOTTARAKKARA

PETITIONER/ACCUSED:

GARGIAN SUDHEERAN
AGED 48 YEARS
S/O.UTHAMAN, SIVADA, MALAYATTOOR VILLAGE,
ALUVA, ERNAKULAM, PIN - 683587

BY ADVS.
N.J.MATHEWS
ABDEL BENNET JACOB LAWYER

RESPONDENTS/STATE/DEFACTO COMPLAINANT:

- 1 STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF KERALA, COCHIN, PIN - 682031
- 2 INSPECTOR OF POLICE
CYBER CRIMES POLICE STATION,
INFOPARK, KOCHI, PIN - 682030
- 3 INSPECTOR OF POLICE
KADAKKAL POLICE STATION,
KOLLAM, PIN - 691536



2025:KER:2032

CRL.MC NOS.11327 OF 2023
& 4154 OF 2024

2

4 THE SECRETARY
CHILD WELFARE COMMITTEE, KOLLAM DIVISION,
BEACH ROAD, PALLITHOTTAM, KOLLAM, PIN - 691001

5 XXXX
XXXX
XXXX

BY ADVS.
AJIT G ANJARLEKAR
G.P.SHINOD(K/000626/1995)
GOVIND PADMANAABHAN(K/925/2010)
ATUL MATHEWS(K/1675/2018)
GAYATHRI S.B.(K/2005/2020)

SRI. JIBU T S, PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

THIS CRIMINAL MISC. CASE HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 20.12.2024 ALONG WITH CRL.MC.11327/2023, THE COURT ON
13.04.2025 PASSED THE FOLLOWING:



2025:KER:2032

CRL.MC NOS.11327 OF 2023
& 4154 OF 2024

3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A. BADHARUDEEN

MONDAY, THE 13TH DAY OF JANUARY 2025 / 23RD POU SHA, 1946

CRL.MC NO. 11327 OF 2023

CRIME NO.2/2023 OF CYBER CRIME POLICE STATION, ERNAKULAM

RURAL, ERNAKULAM

SC NO.708 OF 2023 OF FAST TRACK SPECIAL COURT,

PERUMBAVOOR

PETITIONER/ACCUSED:

GARGIAN SUDHEERAN
AGED 48 YEARS
S/O.UTHAMAN, SIVADA, MALAYATTOOR VILLAGE,
ALUVA, ERNAKULAM, PIN - 683587

BY ADV N.J.MATHEWS

RESPONDENTS/STATE/DEFACTO COMPLAINANT:

- 1 STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF KERALA, COCHIN, PIN - 682031
- 2 INSPECTOR OF POLICE
CYBER CRIMES POLICE STATION, INFOPARK,
KOCHI, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT, PIN - 682030
- 3 INSPECTOR OF POLICE
KADAKKAL POLICE STATION,
KOLLAM DISTRICT,
PIN - 691536



2025:KER:2032

CRL.MC NOS.11327 OF 2023
& 4154 OF 2024

4

4 XXXX
XXXX
XXXX

BY ADVS.
AJIT G ANJARLEKAR
G.P.SHINOD(K/000626/1995)
GOVIND PADMANAABHAN(K/925/2010)
ATUL MATHEWS(K/1675/2018)

SRI.JIBU T.S., PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

THIS CRIMINAL MISC. CASE HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
20.12.2024, ALONG WITH CRL.MC.4154/2024, THE COURT ON
13.01.2025 PASSED THE FOLLOWING:



2025:KER:2032

CRL.MC NOS.11327 OF 2023
& 4154 OF 2024

5

CR

ORDER

Dated this the 13th day of January, 2025

CrI.M.C.No.11327 of 2023 has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (hereinafter referred to as 'Cr.P.C.' for short) by Gargian Sudheeran, who is the sole accused in S.C.No.708/2023, pending before the Fast Track Special Court (Cases related to Atrocities against (Women and Children), Perumbavoor, arose out of Crime No.2/2023 of Cyber Police Station, Ernakulam, seeking the following prayer:

“Call for the entire records relating to S.C.No.708/2023 and FIR No.516 of 2023 of the Kadakkal Police Station and FIR No.2/2023 of Cyber Police Station, Ernakulam and to quash all further proceedings against the petitioner.”

2. CrI.M.C.No.4154/2024 also is at the instance of Sri.Gargian Sudheeran, who is the 1st accused in S.C.No.184/2024 on the files of the Fast Track Special Court,



2025:KER:2032

CRL.MC NOS.11327 OF 2023
& 4154 OF 2024

6

Kottarakkara, arose out of Crime No.516/2023 of Kadakkal Police Station, Kollam, and the prayer is as under:

“Call for the entire records relating to S.C.No.184/2024 before the First Additional District and Sessions Court, Kollam and to quash all further proceedings against the petitioner.”

3. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner/Gargian Sudheeran as well as the 4th respondent/defacto complainant in Crl.M.C.No.4154/2024 and the 5th respondent/defacto complainant in Crl.M.C. No.11327/2023. Also heard the learned Public Prosecutor in detail.

4. The facts in Crl.M.C.No.11327/2023 run on the premise that, in crime No.2/2023 of Cyber Crime Police Station, Ernakulam, initially FIR was registered alleging commission of offence punishable under Section 23(4) of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act (hereinafter referred to as 'PoCSO Act' for short) by Gargian Sudheeran and after investigation, final report was filed incorporating



2025:KER:2032

CRL.MC NOS.11327 OF 2023
& 4154 OF 2024

7

offence under Section 228A of the Indian Penal Code (hereinafter referred to as ('IPC' for short) as well as under Section 23(4) of the PoCSO Act. The sum and substance of the allegation of the prosecution in this case is that on 28.05.2022, the accused herein disclosed the identity of the victim in Crime No.2113/2016 through SRP FORUM Facebook page and thereby committed the above offences.

5. The prosecution case in Crime No.516/2023 of Kadakkal Police Station pertaining to Crl.M.C. No.4154/2024 is that, on 11.03.2023 and 23.03.2023, the accused disclosed the identity of victim in Crime No.2113/2016 and thereby committed offences punishable under Sections 228A and 201 r/w 34 of IPC and Sections 23, 17 and 16 of the PoCSO Act. In Crime No.2113/2016, the prosecution alleges commission of offences punishable under Section 376 of IPC and Sections 3(b), 4, 7, 8 and 9(m)



2025:KER:2032

CRL.MC NOS.11327 OF 2023
& 4154 OF 2024

8

(n) of the PoCSO Act by the accused therein.

6. According to the learned counsel for Gargian Sudheeran, Crime No.2/2023 was registered earlier and the allegations therein are similar to the allegations in Crime No.516/2023. It is submitted by the learned counsel for Gargian Sudheeran that going by the 161 Cr.P.C. statement given by the defacto complainant in this crime, the same would recite that what he has been stated in the principal Crime, viz., 2113/2016, is contrary and therefore, both crimes are liable to be quashed for want of primary materials to sustain the offences. The learned counsel argued further that if at all it is found *prima facie* that the offences alleged in Crime No.2/2023 are sustainable, warranting trial, then also, the 2nd crime registered for the same offences, vide Crime No.516/2023, would not lie in the eye of law since registration of two First Information Reports and filing of two separate



2025:KER:2032

CRL.MC NOS.11327 OF 2023
& 4154 OF 2024

9

final reports in relation to one occurrence is not permitted under law. The learned counsel for the petitioner/Gargian Sudheeran also placed decision of the Telangana High Court in **Writ Petition No.22037 of 2021** and decision of the Karnataka High Court in **Criminal Petition No.2015 of 2022 and connected cases**, to contend that registration of multiple First Information Reports by the same person against the same accused is not legally permissible since the same would result in getting the accused entangled in multiple criminal proceedings for the same allegations and such registration of FIR is an abuse of process of Court.

7. Opposing this contention, it is pointed out by the defacto complainant that originally Crime No.2113/2016 of Kadakkal Police Station was registered against the accused therein, alleging commission of offences punishable under Section 376 of IPC as well as under Sections 3, 4, 7, 8, 9(m) and 9(n) of PoCSO Act. Thereafter, final report has



2025:KER:2032

CRL.MC NOS.11327 OF 2023
& 4154 OF 2024

10

been filed and the matter now pending for trial before the Special Court. According to the learned counsel for the defacto complainant, going by the allegations in Crime No.2/2023, disclosure of identity of the victim on 28.05.2022 in Crime No.2113/2016 is specifically made out *prima facie*. Therefore, quashment prayer therein would not succeed. He also argued that in Crime No.516/2023 also, similar is the position. Therefore, prayer to quash the proceedings would not succeed.

8. It is pointed out by the learned counsel for the defacto complainant further that in this matter, FIR was not registered twice for the same offence/offences. According to him, initially, when the identity of the victim was disclosed on 28.05.2022 in a Facebook post by Gargian Sudheeran, crime was registered. Thereafter, final report filed on 14.02.2023. In order to substantiate this contention, the learned counsel for the defacto complainant given much emphasis to order of this



2025:KER:2032

CRL.MC NOS.11327 OF 2023
& 4154 OF 2024

11

Court in B.A.No.1765/2023 dated 10.03.2023, whereby anticipatory bail application filed by Gargian Sudheeran was dismissed, recording the submission of the learned Public Prosecutor that final report in crime No.2/2023 was already filed. On perusal of the bail order, the said fact is noticeable. That apart, as per Annexure A3 final report in Crime No.2/2023, the same was filed on 14.02.2023. It is true that, in this matter, allegation in both crimes is disclosure of the identity of the victim in Crime No.2113/2016; but during two different periods. That is to say, in Crime No.2/2023, the allegation is confined on 28.05.2022 and in Crime No.516/2023, the dates of occurrence are 11.03.2023 and 23.03.2023.If so, as submitted by the learned counsel for the defacto complainant, FIR in Crime No.516/2023, registered for the occurrences on 11.03.2023 and 23.03.2023, is after filing of final report in Crime No.2/2023 on 14.02.2023. The learned counsel for the defacto complainant placed decisions of the Apex Court in **Abhishek Singh Chauhan V.**



2025:KER:2032

CRL.MC NOS.11327 OF 2023
& 4154 OF 2024

12

Union of India & Ors. reported in ***2022 LiveLaw (SC) 608***, in ***Tarak Dash Mukharjee & Ors v. State of Uttar Pradesh & Ors.***, reported in ***2022 LiveLaw (SC) 731***, in ***Babubhai v. State of Gujarat and Others***, reported in ***2010 KHC 4608*** and in ***Krishna Lal Chawla & Ors V. State of U.P. & ANR***, reported in ***2021 LiveLaw (SC) 145*** to highlight the principles governing multiple first information reports. It is pointed out by the learned counsel for the defacto complainant further that registration of crime for different occurrences in different periods could not be held as registration of FIR for the same occurrence. Accordingly, the learned counsel for the defacto complainant submitted that contention raised by the learned counsel for the petitioner/Gargian Sudheeran that Crime No.516/2023 registered for the occurrences on 11.03.2023 and 23.03.2023 would not succeed, on the finding that the same is an FIR registered in relation to an occurrence covered by FIR in Crime No.2/2023.



2025:KER:2032

CRL.MC NOS.11327 OF 2023
& 4154 OF 2024

13

9. Now the question poses for consideration is, what is meant by registering multiple First Information Reports for the same occurrence and registration of First Information Report against the same accused at the instance of the same defacto complainant for difference occurrences which would make distinct and different offences?

10. On perusal of the decisions referred hereinabove, the legal position is emphatically clear on the point that if multiple first information reports by the same person against the same accused are permitted to be registered in respect of the same set of facts and allegations, the same would result in getting the accused entangled in multiple criminal proceedings for the same offences. Therefore, the registration of such multiple first information reports is nothing but an abuse of process of law and the same would not stand in the scrutiny of Articles 21 and 22 of the Constitution of India. In such cases, while permitting



2025:KER:2032

CRL.MC NOS.11327 OF 2023
& 4154 OF 2024

14

investigation in one FIR registered initially, other FIRs shall be quashed. At the same time, registration of first information reports by the same person against the same accused for entirely different offence/s, not covered by the first information report earlier registered, is within the orbit of law and such FIRs cannot be quashed.

11. In the instant case, originally crime No.2/2023 was registered alleging that on 28.05.2022, the petitioner herein disclosed the identity of the victim in crime No.2113/2016 and committed offence punishable under Section 23(4) of the PoCSO Act. The said crime was investigated and final report filed on 14.02.2023. After filing the final report on 14.02.2023, again the petitioner along with the accused persons, on 11.03.2023 and 23.03.2023 repeated publication of the materials, disclosing the identity of the victim in crime No.2113/2016. Therefore, the same is a distinct and different offence, for which registration of a fresh first information report



2025:KER:2032

CRL.MC NOS.11327 OF 2023
& 4154 OF 2024

15

is legally sustainable. In view of the above legal position, it could not be held that the proceedings in crime No.516/2023 is regarding an occurrence which was covered by the occurrence in crime No.2/2023 since the same was registered for distinct offence/s. In both the crimes, the prosecution materials would show *prima facie* that the accused herein committed offences alleged and therefore, the matter would require trial. If so, quashment prayer canvassed is liable to fail. Accordingly, both petitions are dismissed.

Interim order of stay granted by this Court stands vacated.

Registry shall forward a copy of this order to the jurisdictional court for information and further steps.

Sd/-
A. BADHARUDEEN
JUDGE

nkr



2025:KER:2032

CRL.MC NOS.11327 OF 2023
& 4154 OF 2024

16

APPENDIX OF CRL.MC NO.4154 OF 2024

ANNEXURE A1 CERTIFIED COPY OF THE FINAL REPORT IN
SC NO.184/2024 BEFORE THE FIRST
ADDITIONAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS
COURT, KOLLAM (FAST TRACK SPECIAL
COURT, KOTTARAKKARA)

ANNEXURE A2 TRUE COPY OF THE ALLEGED STATEMENT
THAT APPEARED ON TEH FACEBOOK

RESPONDENTS ANNEXURES : NIL



2025:KER:2032

CRL.MC NOS.11327 OF 2023
& 4154 OF 2024

17

APPENDIX OF CRL.MC NO.11327 OF 2023

- | | |
|-------------|--|
| ANNEXURE A1 | CERTIFIED COPY OF THE FIR NO.2/2023 OF THE CYBER POLICE STATION |
| ANNEXURE A2 | A CERTIFIED COPY OF THE FIRST INFORMATION REPORT ACCUSED IN CRIME NO.516/2023 OF KADAKKAL POLICE STATION |
| ANNEXURE A3 | A CERTIFIED COPY OF THE FINAL REPORT IN SC NO.708/2023 |
- RESPONDENTS ANNEXURES : NIL